

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

| 09/890,180 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| A347 7590 12/172099 EXAMINER | APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| Motorola, Inc. EXAMINER | 09/890,180 | 01/24/2002 | Alexander Medvinsky | D02236-02 | 7559 |
| Law Department TO, BAOTRAN N 1303 East Algonquin Road ART UNIT PAF 3rd Floor 2435 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| 3rd Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196 ART INIT PAR 2435 | Law Department | | | TO, BAOTRAN N | |
| | | onquin Koad | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MAIL DATE DEL | Schaumburg, IL 60196 | | | 2435 | |
| MALL DATE DEL | | | | NAME DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| 12/17/2009 | | | | | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 09/890,180 | MEDVINSKY ET AL. | |
| * * | | Art Unit | |
| Review | BaoTran To | 2435 | |
| | | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for | or Review filed 27 April 2009. |
|---|---|
| Improper Request – The Request is improreason(s): | oper and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed o ☐ The request does not include reasons wh ☐ A proposed amendment is included with t ☐ Other: | |
| The time period for filing a response continues to the mail date of the last Office communication, if | run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from no Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains under appeal beca is required to submit an appeal brief in accordanc brief will be reset to be one month from mailing the running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, we | Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been use there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant be the Applicant are least of the Applicant are is decision, or the balance of the two-month time period whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the used upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| ☑ The panel has determined the status of Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ., |
| | been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of rits remains closed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has I action will be mailed. No further action is require | been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office d by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) <u>BaoTran To</u> . | (3) <u>Kimyen Vu</u> . |
| (2) Nirav Patel. | (4) |
| /Kimyen Vu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2435 | |

Part of Paper No. 20091214

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office